



“Control Plane Extensions and Standardization (T7.2)”

ANNEX 1 TO

“Final Report On DICONET Exploitation And Dissemination Plans Including Contribution To Standards”

D7.4

‘DICONET_D7.4_Annex1_WP7_ECI_27_07_10_V1.0_final’

Version: 1.0 {Structure: Version.Edition}

Last Update: 2/8/2010 2:59:00 PM

Distribution Level: {PU}

- *Distribution level*

The DICONET Project Consortium groups the following Organizations:

Partner Name	Short name	Country
JCP-Consult	JCP	FR
Research and Education Laboratory in Information Technologies	AIT	GR
Center of REsearch And Telecommunication Experimentations for NETworked communities	Create-NET	IT
Institut Telecom, Télécom ParisTech	TPT	FR
Huawei Technologies Düsseldorf GmbH	HWDU	DE
Interdisciplinair Instituut voor Breedband Technologie, VZW	IBBT	BE
Research Academic Computer Technology Institute	CTI	GR
University of Essex	UEssex	UK
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya	UPC	SP
ADVA AG Optical Networking	ADVA	DE
Deutsche Telekom AG	DTAG	DE
Alcatel-Lucent Bell Labs France	A-LBLF	FR
ECI Telecom	ECI	IL

Abstract:

The first part of this document surveys the current status of standardization activities related to optical control plane at the following forums: the International Telecommunications Union (ITU-T), the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), and the Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF). This part also provides an overview of the standards activity in optical monitoring, one of focuses of DICONET project. The aim of the survey is to provide inputs for the standards to be implemented or taken into consideration in the relevant WPs of DICONET. In particular, protocol extensions for physical information have been implemented based on IETF standards/drafts.

The second part of this document presents the proposals submitted to ITU-T and IETF based on the DICONET results. In particular, three proposals submitted to ITU-T on physical layer modeling and optical performance monitoring and one proposal submitted to IETF on OSPF-TE protocol extensions to include physical impairments. In addition, one draft on protocol extensions for physical impairments supported by DICONET has also been submitted to IETF.

“The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 216338”

Document Identity

Title:	Control Plane Extensions and Standardization (T7.2)
Subject:	Management, rules & procedures
Number:	
File name:	DICONET_D7.4_Annex1_WP7_ECI_27_07_10_V1.0_final
Registration Date:	Monday, July 26, 2010
Last Update:	Thursday, July 29, 2010

Revision History

No.	Version	Edition	Author(s)	Date
1	0	1	Yabin Ye- Huawei	26/07/2010
	Comments:	Initial Release		
2	0		David Dahan -ECI	27/07/2010
	Comments:	Transition from annex I for D7.2 to annex I for D7.4		
3	0		Yabin Ye- Huawei	28/07/2010
	Comments:	revisions		
4	0		David Dahan -ECI	29/07/2010
	Comments:	Final revisions		
5	0			
	Comments:			
6				
	Comments:			
7				
	Comments:			
8				
	Comments:			
9				
	Comments:			
10				
	Comments:			
11				
	Comments:			
12				
	Comments:			
13				
	Comments:			
14				
	Comments:			
15				
	Comments:			

Table of Contents

LIST OF ACRONYMS	5
1. INTRODUCTION	7
2. CONTROL PLANE STANDARDIZATION	8
2.1 Control Plane Standardization In Itu-T (Ason).....	8
2.2 Control Plane Standardization In Ietf (Gmpls/Pce).....	10
2.2.1 Original Gmpls	10
2.2.2 Routing And Wavelength Assignment	11
2.2.3 Impairment Aware Routing And Wavelength Assignment	12
2.2.4 Protocol Extensions For Physical Information Based On Ietf	14
2.3 Control Plane Standardization In Oif	15
3. OPTICAL MONITORING STANDARDIZATION	16
3.1 Optical Monitoring Standardization In Itu-T.....	16
3.1.1 Classification Of Monitoring Methods	16
3.1.1.1 Signal Monitoring	17
3.1.1.2 Equipment Monitoring (Indirect Methods).....	17
3.1.1.3 Embedded Monitoring Equipment.....	17
3.1.1.4 External Monitoring Equipment	17
3.1.2 Optical Monitoring Parameters.....	18
3.1.3 Optical Impairments	18
3.2 Optical Monitoring Standardization In Iec	18
3.2.1 Background About Iec	18
3.2.1.1 Iec Mission.....	18
3.2.1.2 Iec Objectives.....	19
3.2.1.3 Iec Standards.....	19
3.2.2 Review Aspects Of Iec86c.....	20
3.2.2.1 Consideration Of Types Of Dynamic Modules.....	21
3.2.2.2 Typical Failure Points	22
3.2.2.3 Failure Modes And Known Failure Mechanisms	22
4. PROPOSALS TO ITU-T Q6/15	23
4.1 On Physical Layer Modeling.....	23
4.2 On Optical Performance Monitoring.....	24
5. PROPOSALS TO IETF CCAMP	24
6. CONCLUSIONS.....	24
7. REFERENCES	25
APPENDIX I	28
APPENDIX II.....	31
APPENDIX III.....	34
APPENDIX IV.....	36
APPENDIX V.....	49

List of Acronyms

ASE	=	Amplified Spontaneous Emission
ASON	=	Automatic Switched Optical Networks
ASTN	=	Automatic Switched Transport Networks
BER	=	Bit Error Rate
CCAMP	=	Common Control and Measurement Plane
CI	=	Characteristic Information
DCF	=	Dispersion Compensation Fiber
DGE	=	Dynamic Gain Equalizer
E-NNI	=	External network-to-network interface
FMEA	=	Failure Mode and Effect Analysis
FSC	=	Fiber or Port-Switch Capable
FWM	=	Four-Wave Mixing
GMPLS	=	Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching
IA-RWA	=	Impairment-Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment
IEC	=	International Electrotechnical Commission
IETF	=	Internet Engineering Task Force
ITU-T	=	International Telecommunications Union
IV	=	Impairment Validation
L2SC	=	Layer-2 Switch Capable
LCD	=	Liquid Crystal Devices
LI	=	Linear Impairments
LMP	=	Link Management Protocol
LSC	=	Lambda Switch Capable
LSP	=	Label Switch Path
LSR	=	Label Switch Router
MEMS	=	Micro Electro Mechanical System
MPLS	=	Multi-Protocol Label Switching
NLI	=	Non-Linear Impairments
NMS	=	Network Management System
NNI	=	Network-to-Network Interfaces
OA	=	Optical Amplifier
OIF	=	Optical Internetworking Forum
QoS	=	Quality of Service
OSNR	=	Optical Signal-to-Noise Ratio
OSPF-TE	=	Open Shortest Path First with TE extensions
OTN	=	Optical Transport Network
OTS	=	Optical Transport System
OXC	=	Optical Cross-Connects
PCE	=	Path Computation Element
PCEP	=	Path Computation Element Protocol
PMD	=	Polarization Mode Dispersion
PMDC	=	Polarization Mode Dispersion Compensation
PSC	=	Packet Switching Capable
ROADM	=	Reconfigurable Optical Add/Drop Multiplexer
RSVP-TE	=	Resource reSerVation Protocol with TE extensions
RWA	=	Routing and Wavelength Assignment
SC	=	Switched Connection
SCN	=	Signaling Communications Network
SDH	=	Synchronous Digital Hierarchy
SML	=	Service management layer
SONET	=	Synchronous optical networking
SPC	=	Soft Permanent Connection
SPM	=	Self-Phase Modulation

SRS	=	Stimulated Raman Scattering
STM	=	Synchronous Transport Module
TE	=	Traffic Engineering
TBT	=	Technical Barriers to Trade
TDM	=	Time-Division Multiplex
UNI	=	User to Network Interfaces
WA	=	Wavelength Assignment
WDM	=	Wavelength Division Multiplex
WSO	=	Wavelength Switched Optical Network
WTO	=	World Trade Organization
XPM	=	Cross-Phase Modulation

1. Introduction

Transparent optical networks are benefiting from the increasing bit-rate transmission capacity per wavelength with today with 40 Gbit/s and soon 100 Gbit/s and more, associated with the increasing number of wavelengths per optical interface and combined with new advances in terms of control functions based on Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) control plane architecture and Path Computing Element functions extensions.

The first half of the document reports the network control and management contributions related to Wavelength Switched Optical Network (WSON) considering the advances at the following forums: the International Telecommunications Union (ITU-T), the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), and the Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF). The standardization contributions and the positions of the standard bodies remain subtle especially between these three standardization organizations ITU-T, IETF and OIF. The ITU-T usually takes a top-down approach by listing the requirements, working on the architecture components and then sometimes developing on the specifications of the network protocols. The IETF specification process is more centered on the specifications of the protocol engines and some of their related design while it is still referencing the requirements produced by ITU-T. Finally OIF is much related to the ITU-T requirements with the objectives to align the different protocol design and producing implementation agreements and promoting interoperability tests. The objective of the document is to provide a status on standard contributions related or even impacting the research activities involved in the DICONET project. The deliverable starts reporting the architecture recommendations delivered by ITU-T on Automatic Switched Optical Networks (ASON) and related optical connection services then it continues on the contributions produced by IETF on the specifications of the framework of WSON for PCE and GMPLS extensions. A draft proposal submitted to the IETF Common Control and Measurement Plane (CCAMP) working group from DICONET partners is also summarized here. Finally the OIF contributions are provided with a special focus on the optical network references points: user to network interfaces (UNI) and network-to-network interfaces (NNI) in regards with wavelength connection service deliveries.

Traditional TDM single-channel communication systems have been relayed on the electrical layer to provide the required performance monitoring. Performance parameters such as errored-second and severely-errored-second have been embedded in the SDH overhead and measure the network performance. While these methods give a reliable measure of the end-to-end performance of an optical channel, they cannot be applied inside a transparent optical domain where access to the electrical layer is not available. Moreover, the analog nature of the optical network, the increasing channel counts, the longer distance traveled, and the higher amplifier output power leads to an increasing influence of linear and non-linear distortions, which makes system commissioning an increasingly complex task. These challenges call for employment of optical monitoring throughout the network that monitors the most critical optical parameters. The ability to improve the end-to-end monitoring with distributed optical monitoring may have both reliability and cost benefits for configuration management and fault/degradation management, since some defects, degradations and faults affecting the quality of the optical layer are more easily detected and isolated through optical monitoring.

The second half of the document provides overview of the standards activity in optical monitoring, one of focuses of DICONET project. The aim of this survey is to provide some

inputs for the standards to be implemented or taken into consideration in the relevant WPs of DICONET. In this document we made a survey of the ITU-T standard body[44] [45] and the IEC86C standard.

During the last period of DICONET project, the results of the project have been submitted to different standardization bodies. More specifically, two proposals on physical layer modeling and one proposal on optical performance monitoring have been submitted to ITU-T Q6/15 in May 2010; one proposal on OSPF-TE protocol extensions for considering physical impairments has been submitted to IETF CCAMP.

2. Control plane standardization

2.1 Control plane standardization in ITU-T (ASON)

The generic functional architecture of transport networks is recommended by ITU-T [27] independently of specific optical switching technologies. This ITU-T recommendation can be referenced for several optical switching technologies by other ITU-T recommendation and OIF specifications (see section 2.3) including, SONET/SDH, G.709 or wavelength technologies and their IETF label switching specifications [18], [15] and [17] respectively.

The generic functional architecture G.805 was the basis to describe the requirements for automatic switched transport networks (ASTN) [26]. The ASTN requirements listed in G.807 were the basis for the developments of the architecture of automatically switched optical network G.8080 (ASON architecture) [25]. Although the recommendations of the ASON architecture rely on specific optical switching technologies such as listed previously, the ASON architecture is described from a high level of abstraction of the different functional components and described the protocol interactions between these components.

The three basic architecture units within ASON networks are nodes, links and sub-networks. The two formers are quite straightforward and match the physical optical network entities and are usually described in any network architectures.

The ASON sub-network is specified as an arbitrary set of network equipments or recursively as sub-networks. An ASON network can consider each sub-network that it contains as a virtual node from which each sub-network appears as a single virtual node with external links. This architectural concept usually presented as sub-network opacity improves the scalability of the control information (for the routing and signaling information as presented in section 2.2) because the detailed connectivity topology of the sub-networks is controlled hierarchically at different levels. This simplification is generally used to mask the interoperability issues due to legacy control within a sub-network, especially when a set of optical cross-connects (OXC) or reconfigurable optical add/drop multiplexer (ROADM) do not integrate recent development of GMPLS based controlled functions [31].




Figure 1: ASON network: links, nodes, sub-networks, connections and reference points

The sub-networks are actually built from well-established legacy networks such as SONET/SDH rings and the ASON architecture has been developed to integrate traditional transport network technologies with the new mesh optical networks as described in [32] where new end-to-end protection and full-mesh restoration configurations as analyzed in [33] are needed to be supported across different network topologies utilizing traffic engineering from the client networks through the user-to-network interfaces (UNI) and/or between two different sub-networks having or dynamic control capabilities through the external network-to-network interface (E-NNI).

For example the optical node equipments within the sub-network C in the Figure 1 may be legacy nodes that do not integrate any dynamic control capabilities while the sub-network B can be composed with Tunable & Reconfigurable - OADM nodes with GMPLS controller. The routing and signaling functions enable dynamic provisioning within the sub-network B. By representing sub-network C (on the left) as an abstract node, the node controllers of sub-network B can provision dynamically a wavelength connection for serving the connection services between the edge system 1 and edge system 2 according the QoS requirements such as bandwidth and latency within the sub-network B. The wavelength connection provisioning within sub-network B is performed independently of how the wavelength connection is established within sub-network C. The establishment of the wavelength connections within sub-network C is processed in such a way that the node C5 (entry point of sub-network C) signals the right level of QoS parameters towards the node B1. A possible scenario is that the optical resource reservation is performed statically through the network management systems (NSM) and the nodes B1 and C5 are responsible for stitching the sessions associated of the two wavelength connections signaled.

An important concept provided by ASON architecture is the separation of *call* and *connection* in order to provision network services and its maintenance. The *call* is an architecture entity defining an end-to-end relationship between the UNI clients, this entity is specified in ASON and the signaling extension defined in [20]. A *call* states the level of services required such as bandwidth, QoS (latency, jitter) and availability and is characterized by a calling and called party. The call entity allows applying defined policies and security to ensure that the egress node (i.e. the receiver) is satisfied with the wavelength connection services provided by the optical networks. The call entity is exposed to the network service management layer (SML) and consequently it is not associated to the provisioning or signaling of any network equipments to carry the client data traffic.

The routing and provisioning/signaling of the optical network resources for the *connection* entities as recommended by ASON within the transport network are associated together to transport data from one ingress client node to one egress client node. The ASON recommendations put new requirements on the network control functions [30] that were analyzed and assessed in [29] and [28] respectively.

Each connection is established over a network (as illustrated on Figure 1) and is structured with different parts: (i) between the client node (UNI-C) and the provider-edge node (UNI-N), (ii) across a sub-network, (iii) between sub-networks through E-NNI. Each connection is established between UNI-capable nodes and/or NNI-capable nodes and realizes the services expressed in the call.

2.2 Control plane standardization in IETF (GMPLS/PCE)

IETF is developing a standardized control plane for use in a Wavelength Switched Optical Network (WSO). This section gives an overview of the standardization protocol architecture and components developed so far by the IETF for WSO.

The IETF CCAMP working group is the design authority for all extensions to the GMPLS family of protocols. CCAMP participants have identified cases where they believe it would be helpful to consider optical impairments for the control plane operation of a WSO. The purpose of this work is to determine suitable end-to-end paths that meet the service objectives in optical networks (i.e. to perform constrained path computation).

This gives rise to four distinct deployment scenarios [1]:

1. No concern for impairments or lambda continuity because there is sufficient margin in all impairments. (Original GMPLS)
2. No concern for impairments (again because there is sufficient margin), but lambda continuity is important. (The RWA problem)
3. Networks in which it is necessary to consider impairments, but there is sufficient margin such that approximate impairment estimation (using "simple" computation of the accumulation) could be used and still have a high probability that the optical path would be viable. Also adding or removing an optical signal on the path will not render any of the existing signals in the network non viable. One form of non-viability is the occurrence of transients in existing links.
4. Networks in which in order to determine whether a path is viable it is necessary to perform a full computation of the accumulation of impairments including the impact on existing paths.

2.2.1 Original GMPLS

Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) [14] extends the MPLS architecture specified in RFC3031 from supporting only packet interfaces and switching (Packet Switching Capable - PSC) to also include support for four new classes of node interfaces and switching as (i) Layer-2 Switch Capable (L2SC), (ii) Time-Division Multiplex (TDM), (iii) Lambda Switch Capable (LSC) and (iv) Fiber or Port-Switch Capable (FSC). A functional description of the extensions to connection signaling needed to support new classes of interfaces and switching is provided in [14].

In MPLS, a label is an arbitrary tag for data packet that is used as an index to look-up into a Label Forwarding Information Base for determining the next hop for the labeled data. The Label Forwarding Information Base contains a mapping of an interface associated to an incoming label to another interface associated to an outgoing label. In MPLS controlled network labels are not directly coupled with a network resource (e.g. a router interface).

In GMPLS controlled networks, the physical resources are identified by GMPLS labels (e.g. TDM, Lambda or Fiber labels) and are exactly the switchable quantities. For example in optical networks, wavelength can be switched at each OXC. The GMPLS label control functions bring new challenges and constraints that are not existing in packet switched networks. GMPLS label values may need to have a semantic end-to-end rather than just

locally at each Label Switched Router (LSR) as for example identifying the frequency values of the wavelengths.

In GMPLS the significance of a label is private between two adjacent LSRs, and the two LSRs have to control the label in a coordinated way. Specification developments on labeling G.709 optical data units are encoded with specific values [15] such that G.709 frames can be deduced from its label values. In the same approach TDM labels are given a special encoding so that the signaled optical timeslot can be automatically deduced [18].

Recent works at CCAMP are addressing specifications to the use of GMPLS with a new generation of Lambda Switch Capable (LSC) equipment. Technologies such as ROADM and OXC switch at the wavelength level. Consequently, the wavelength frequency is an important information that is necessary to set up a wavelength-based LSP appropriately. But for fiber switching the meaning of the label is left as a concern of the network operator for configuring its value or discovery and negotiation through the link management protocol (LMP) as specified in [35].

2.2.2 Routing and Wavelength Assignment

The RWA problem means path computation and wavelength assignment in WSON when there are limited cross-connection capabilities, limited wavelength availability or limited wavelength conversion capabilities. These limited information are clarified in [31] which focuses on the unique properties of links, switches and path selection constraints that occur in WSONs and provides a framework for applying GMPLS and the Path Computation Element (PCE) architecture to the control of WSONs. In particular [31] provides control plane models for key wavelength switched optical network subsystems and processes. The subsystems include wavelength division multiplexed links, tunable laser transmitters, ROADM and wavelength converters.

The alternative approach for RWA is to distribute the wavelength related limited information throughout the network. To do this there must be some mechanism [31] to distribute the information. The approach under consideration by CCAMP is to provide extensions to the Traffic Engineering routing protocols (OSPF-TE) [3] and signaling protocol (RSVP-TE) [4]. The approach under consideration by PCE is to define the procedures necessary and protocol extensions for PCE and Path Computation Element communication Protocol (PCEP) [5][16].

In order to make these potential approaches work, it is necessary for the nodes or path computation element to have a common understanding of mapping between labels and wavelength. Unlike the traditional label which only has meaning in the context of the link on which it is used. CCAMP defined a new label format [6] that has meaning in a global context. The wavelength label format is consistent with ITU-T's definition of wavelength grid.

In order to make the above RWA potential approaches work, another problem that must be resolved is to derive a consistent encoding of the information distributed by the routing protocol or other potential protocol. CCAMP in [7] analyzed what information needs to be distributed and how to provide a protocol-independent encodings [8] for distribution of related limited information.

CCAMP in [4] provides extensions to Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) signaling for control of WSON. In particular, extensions are given to characterize optical signal types via traffic parameters, permit simultaneous bi-directional wavelength assignment,

and control the distributed wavelength assignment process. These extensions build on previous work for the control of G.709 based networks.

[3] from CCAMP partners describes OSPF routing protocols extensions to support WSON under the control of GMPLS. [5] from PCE Working Group partners provides application-specific requirements for the PCEP for the support of WSON.

2.2.3 Impairment Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment

Long haul optical transmissions pose new requirements on GMPLS for handling possible physical impairments. Fundamentally, the optical layer is lowest in the transport hierarchy and hence is strongly impacted by the physical geography of the network. Consequently some IETF contributions such as [19] analyze the aspects of optical networks that impact routing and identify possible GMPLS based control function response for constraints such as wavelength continuity in a single domain, complications arising in more complex networks incorporating both all-optical and opaque architectures, and the impacts of diversity constraints.

To route connections in an all-optical network, it is usually assumed that all routes have adequate signal quality. This may be ensured by limiting all-optical networks to sub-networks of restricted size that are optically isolated from other parts of the optical layer by transponders. This approach is practical and has been applied to date, e.g., when determining the maximum length of an Optical Transport System (OTS). Network operational considerations like fault isolation also make limiting the size of domains of transparency interesting.

There are criteria for constraints domains of transparency in which not all wavelength routes have adequate signal quality. Bandwidth demands from client networks and maximum bit rates have rapidly increased to 10 Gb/s (e.g. STM-64/OC-192) and 40 Gb/s (STM-192/OC-768) and soon 100 Gb/s. As bit rates increase it is necessary to increase power, it makes impairments and nonlinearities more troublesome. From network provider interests, optical technology is advancing very rapidly, making ever-larger transparency domains possible. It is generally assumed that these observations will lead to the deployment of domains of transparency too important to ensure that all potential routes have adequate signal quality for all circuits [19]. It is capital to analyze the impacts of the various types of impairments in this environment.

As initially proposed [34], the non-linear effects like Self-Phase Modulation (SPM), Cross-Phase Modulation (XPM), Four-Wave Mixing (FWM) as well as Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS) and Brillouin scattering could need to be examined in order to evaluate their impacts on the transmission quality. These optical impairments accumulate along the path (without 3R regeneration) traversed by the signal. They are influenced by the type of fiber used, the types and placement of various optical devices and the presence of other optical signals that may share a fiber segment along the signal's path. The degradation of the optical signals due to impairments can result in unacceptable bit error rates or even a complete failure to demodulate and/or detect the received signal. Therefore, path selection in any WSON requires consideration of optical impairments so that the signal will be propagated from the network ingress point to the egress point with an acceptable signal quality.

There is a thing need to be noted is that optical impairment is not an IETF's area but ITU-T's area of study. To work better on path computation in WSON with consideration of optical

impairment, a joint meeting between Q.6/15 and members of IETF's CCAMP working group clarified the deployment scenarios which is described at the beginning of section 2.2. For the scenario 3, G.680 might help to calculate path viability. For scenario 4, there was agreement between members of IETF's CCAMP WG and Q.6/15 that current state of the art technology does not provide a model to calculate viable paths in this scenario. A technical break-through would be required to achieve this [1].

So in the current IETF area of study, only scenario 1, 2, and 3 are involved. Based on the existing ITU-T standards covering optical characteristics (impairments) and the knowledge of how the impact of impairments may be estimated along a path, [9] from CCAMP partners provides a framework for impairment aware path computation and establishment utilizing GMPLS protocols and the PCE architecture. As in the impairment free case covered in [31], a number of different control plane architectural options are described.

In impairment aware routing and wavelength assignment (IA-RWA), [9] defined three general classes of processes to be considered: Routing (R), Wavelength Assignment (WA), and Impairment Validation (estimation) (IV). This leads to several possible architectural models as follows:

A. Combined R, WA, and IV

From the point of view of optimality, the "best" IA-RWA solutions can be achieved if the path computation entity (PCE) can conceptually/algorithmically combine the processes of routing, wavelength assignment and impairment validation. Such a combination can take place if the PCE is given: (a) the impairment-free WSON network information as discussed in [31] and (b) impairment information to validate potential paths.

B. Separated Routing, WA, or IV

Separating the processes of R, WA and/or IV can reduce the need for sharing of different types of information used in path computation. In addition, since some impairment information may not be shared and this may lead to the need of separating IV from RWA. If IV needs to be done at a high level of precision it may be advantageous to offload this computation to a specialized server.

The following conceptual architectures belong to this general category:

- R+WA+IV – Separated R, WA, and IV.
- R + (WA & IV) - R is separated from a combined WA and IV process. Note that IV is typically wavelength dependent hence combining WA with IV can lead to high efficiency.
- (RWA)+IV - Combined RWA with a separated IV process.

Note that the IV process may come before or after the RWA processes. If RWA comes first then IV is just rendering a yes/no decision on the selected path and wavelength. If IV comes first it would need to furnish a list of possible (valid with respect to impairments) routes and wavelengths to the RWA processes.

C. Distributed WA and/or IV

This approach allows distributed computation of impairment effects and avoids the need of distributing impairment characteristics of network elements and links via route protocols or by other means. An example of such approach is given in [10] and utilizes enhancements to

RSVP signaling to carry accumulated impairment related information. A distributed impairment validation for a prescribed network path requires that the effects of impairments can be calculated by approximate models with cumulative quality measures such as those in G.680. If distributed WA is being done at the same time as distributed IV then we may need to accumulate impairment related information for all wavelengths that could be used. This is somewhat winnowed down as potential wavelengths are discovered to be in use, but could be a significant burden for lightly loaded high channel count networks.

In the non-impairment RWA situation it was shown that a consistent encoding of the information needed to be defined for distribution by the routing protocol or other potential protocol. Similarly, [11] analyzed what information needs to be distributed and how to provide a protocol-independent encodings [12] for distribution of related optical impairment information.

To deal with various impairments encountered by optical paths, various impairment compensation technologies have been developed. For example, chromatic dispersion may be compensated by dispersion compensation fiber (DCF) or an electronic or optical compensation device at the transmitter or the receiver of the signal. Insertion of 3R repeaters or an optical amplifier at an optical path's transient node may also be regarded as a compensation effort. Although some compensation technologies offer fixed or limited compensation ranges against impairments, compensation technologies with wide and dynamically tunable compensation ranges have been developing. Such compensation technologies are especially suited for a dynamically established optical path because impairment compensation by them can be automatically adjusted as adequate corresponding to a requested path's impairments. Based upon these observations, [13] discusses how compensation control for tunable compensation technologies is handled within the WSON framework and requirements of impairment compensation control to the Control Plane.

2.2.4 Protocol extensions for physical information based on IETF

In order to design and implement the protocol extension approaches which both RSVP-TE and OSPF-TE are extended to carry physical layer impairments and wavelength availability information, respectively, we have gone through several relevant IETF drafts. The following are the main IETF drafts that we have followed in order for the proposed design solution and extensions to be more close to the activities in standardization bodies. Following IETF drafts are very critical, as our designed solution need to be compliant or almost close to the real-world scenarios. We have extended RSVP-TE path messages to carry physical layer information following the drafts [36][37][15][38][9][39][40][41]. RESV message is extended following the draft [9]. The problem of message fragmentation is solved using the drafts [40][41]. For the development of new mechanisms for extension of RSV-TE behavior to include Q-Check protocol, we have referred drafts[7]. For the extension of OSPF-TE with wavelength availability information we referred the draft [42]. The main contributions after following the drafts as mentioned above are as follows:

Standard RSVP-TE signalling messages are extended to carry information required to compute Q-factor and evaluate optical feasibility. The following information is required in the *PATH* message: **1) requested service/traffic parameters:** a) service type such as encoding type, payload type, etc., b) service QoS such as bandwidth, etc., c) extended service optical parameters such as transponder type, etc. **2) signalled path parameters:** d) path parameters/QoS such as hop number, minimum latency, etc., e) extended path optical

parameters (e.g., OSNR, power, CD, PMD, PMD, and cross-talk). 3) *path resources and status*: f) label-set, g) path sections description such as fiber type, length, input power, input CD, etc., h) path sections active lightpaths such as active LSP *IDs*, transponder type, wavelength, bit-rate, etc.

The standard *GENERALIZED_LABEL_REQUEST* [36] and *TSPEC/FLOW_SPEC* [36][37][15] objects support encoding of (a) and (b). Standard one pass with advertising (OPWA) information is carried in the *ADSPEC* object [37] encodes (d). Standard *LABEL_SET* object [36] with *GENERALIZED_LABEL* encodes (f). The encoding of (c), (e), (g), and (h) requires extensions to the standard *PATH* message and are encoded in *LSP_REQUIRED_ATTRIBUTES* object [38][9][39]. Note that *PATH* message size increases due to the addition of path section information and affected channel information at each hop. The *PATH* message size can easily exceed the maximum transfer unit (MTU) and may lead to message fragmentation. Large MTU values[40] or jumbo frames [41] may also be exceeded in worst case scenarios. A possible solution to *message fragmentation* is described in [9], which splits *PATH* message into multiple messages.

The information is required in *RESV* message is: selected channel information such as, channel identifier, and link physical properties able to evaluate all LIs and NLIs (e.g., CD, Q-factor, etc). We encoded this information in *LSP_REQUIRED_ATTRIBUTES* object [38][9][39]. The standard RSVP-TE behavior has also been extended to trigger *Q_CHK_REQ* to check if the set of new light disrupts any active lightpaths in the network.

To manage the Q-factor of affected lightpaths we have defined a new protocol with following three messages: 1) *Q_CHK_REQ* is defined to check if Q-factor of affected lightpath and new lightpath (in case of changes in network status) destination nodes. It contains affected and affecting LSP *IDs*, etc., 2) *Q_CHK_RESP* is defined to get response from the affected lightpaths destination node and it only contains whether *Q_CHK_REQ* is successful or not. 3) *Q_CHK_REL* is defined to update the Q-factor of affected channels destination node and it contains same information as *Q_CHK_REQs*. For the implementation this protocol we have explored several possible options such as COPS, SOAP, XML RPC, etc. Finally, we have selected the two best possible options [42][43].

2.3 Control plane standardization in OIF

The Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF) specifies the content and the operations of the ASON reference points namely User-to-Network interfaces (UNI), and the Network-to-Network Interfaces (NNI) and specifically on the External-NNI (E-NNI) as illustrated on Figure 1 and with a focus on the signaling message exchanges as the exchanges of routing information is subject to administrative policies in the case of multiple network operators.

The first implementation agreement on the signaling messages to be exchanged between a client node controller and a server node controller was OIF UNI 1.0 published on October 2001 [21] then a second release was produced OIF UNI 1.0 release 2 two year later and the a second version was published through OIF UNI 2.0 for carrier grade Ethernet connections [22].

UNI 1.0 scope is to define the set of connection services, the signaling protocols used to invoke these services, the control channels used to transport signaling messages and the auto-discovery procedures that aid signaling, all are implemented by client network at UNI-C node

and by the transport network at the UNI-N node. The document [21] is based on RSVP-TE protocol as an early implementation based on reusing existing signaling protocols and auto-discovery mechanisms. Only signaling for connection service request invocation is within the scope of UNI 1.0. Routing, reach-ability and address resolution protocols are outside the scope. Also, the UNI 1.0 specification focuses on TDM connection services as SONET/SDH.

The UNI 2.0 [22] extends the previous version by considering Optical Transport Networks (i.e., G.709 and [15]), and carrier grade Ethernet connection services.

The specification of E-NNI signaling abstract messages, attributes, and flows to establish end-to-end dynamic connections across multiple control domains is provided in [23] and it is based on the RSVP-TE protocols. This specification applies to SDH/SONET, G.709 (OTN ODUk), and Ethernet connection services in support of [22]. E-NNI 2.0 describes the support of Soft Permanent Connection (SPC) and Switched Connection (SC) services over the E-NNI, associated ASON architecture.

The ASON architecture supports both single- and multi-layer networks. Multi-layer scenarios include network elements that support more than a single layer, a layer network that supports virtual concatenation and its server layers, transport services that exist where the client layer has no resources in the sub-network except at its edges, etc.

For example, at the edge of a multi-layer transport network, a network element may support client layer networks that are not directly supported in the core of a multilayer transport network. The client CI (Characteristic Information, [G.805]) could be adapted, possibly multiple times, onto server layer connections. Multilayer support refers to the ability to handle the adaptation of one CI into another CI.

E-NNI 2.0 [23] is limited to operations on a single layer network at a time and there is no support for Ethernet Switching at the E-NNI 2.0 interfaces.

Routing, reach-ability, and address resolution protocols are not described [23] outside as well as specifications related to signaling communications network (SCN) design, auto-discovery, and policy as recommended in [26].

The first discussions on the routing functions of E-NNI 2.0 have started through an initial contribution [24]. The initial considerations are focused on extending OSPF-TE with multi-domain capabilities.

3. Optical monitoring standardization

3.1 Optical monitoring standardization in ITU-T

3.1.1 Classification of monitoring methods

The ITU-T distinguishes between several approaches for optical monitoring:

- Signal monitoring
- Equipment monitoring
- Embedded monitoring
- External monitoring devices.

3.1.1.1 Signal monitoring

Signal monitoring are non-intrusive measurements that allow in-service monitoring of the optical signal quality. A distinction between frequency- and time-domain measurement methods is made:

A. Time domain methods

The time domain monitoring methods analyses the behavior of the optical signal in the time domain. These methods are close to full BER measurement and are sensitive to both noise and distortion effects. Sampling oscilloscopes and Q-factor meters are representative of the time domain methods. The drawbacks of these methods are that they generally need optical demultiplexing, optical to electrical conversion and, in the case of sampling methods, synchronization to the bit rate.

B. Frequency (or wavelength) domain methods

Frequency/wavelength domain methods analyses the spectral characteristics of the optical signal. These spectral methods have in common that they do not sample the signal or synchronize to it, thereby eliminating the entire reference receiver. Typically, they employ a spectrum analyzer device. The simplest form of a spectral analysis is simple power monitoring of each channel. This can be done with, for example, a diffraction grating and detector array to sense all of the channel powers simultaneously. At the expense of an increase in the complexity and resolution, this method can also be extended to look at the precise shape of the signal spectrum. The drawback of the spectral methods is that they are averaging methods; Thus, by definition, do not sense the pulse distortion and are insensitive to all of the effects due to distortions.

3.1.1.2 Equipment monitoring (indirect methods)

Indirect methods make use of an empirical correlation between equipment failures and signal quality. Equipment failures such as power supply failures, laser temperature etc., may be detected by built-in self-test functions. These indicators are likely to be very system- and implementation- dependent.

Indirect methods mainly indicate that the system is operating, and one assumes that the signal quality is also degraded, when an equipment parameter is outside the specified range. However, a correct equipment parameter is no guarantee of signal integrity since there may be other impairments that affect the signal quality.

3.1.1.3 Embedded monitoring equipment

In this approach the monitoring equipment is an integral part of the system and its measurement results are reported directly to the network management (NMS). For cost reasons, embedded monitoring is usually limited to the most basic parameters such as optical power.

3.1.1.4 External monitoring equipment

External monitoring approach is based on test equipment that is temporarily employed to measure additional, more sophisticated performance parameters. This approach is usually employed during the network installation and/or in case of a failure or repair.

3.1.2 Optical monitoring parameters

The list of the optical parameters that can be measured using current technology in optical transmission systems is given below.

- Channel power;
- Total power;
- Optical Signal-to-Noise Ratio (OSNR);
- Channel wavelength;
- Q-factor.

3.1.3 Optical impairments

The main systems impairments at the optical layer that limit the capacity of the system to transport information:

- Attenuation
- Optical channel power changes due to gain variations
- Frequency (or wavelength) deviation from nominal
- Polarization Mode Dispersion (PMD) (1st and higher orders)
- Four-Wave Mixing (FWM)
- Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) noise in OA
- Chromatic dispersion
- Chromatic dispersion slope
- Reflections
- Laser noise
- Inter-channel crosstalk
- Interferometric crosstalk
- Cross-Phase Modulation (XPM)
- Self-Phase Modulation (SPM)
- Stimulated Brillouin Scattering (SBS)
- Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS)

3.2 Optical monitoring standardization in IEC

3.2.1 Background about IEC

3.2.1.1 IEC Mission

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes international standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies. These serve as a basis for national standardization and as references when drafting international tenders and contracts. Through its members, the IEC promotes international cooperation on all questions of electrotechnical standardization and related matters, such as the assessment of conformity to standards, in the fields of electricity, electronics and related technologies. The IEC charter embraces all electrotechnologies including electronics, magnetics and electromagnetics, electroacoustics, multimedia, telecommunication, and energy production and distribution, as well as associated general

disciplines such as terminology and symbols, electromagnetic compatibility, measurement and performance, dependability, design and development, safety and the environment.

3.2.1.2 IEC Objectives

The Commission's objectives are to:

- meet the requirements of the global market efficiently
- ensure primacy and maximum world-wide use of its standards and conformity assessment systems
- assess and improve the quality of products and services covered by its standards
- establish the conditions for the interoperability of complex systems
- increase the efficiency of industrial processes
- contribute to the improvement of human health and safety
- contribute to the protection of the environment.

3.2.1.3 IEC Standards

IEC's international standards facilitate world trade by removing technical barriers to trade, leading to new markets and economic growth. Put simply, a component or system manufactured to IEC standards and manufactured in country A can be sold and used in countries B through to Z.

IEC's standards are vital since they also represent the core of the World Trade Organization's Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), whose 100-plus central government members explicitly recognize that international standards play a critical role in improving industrial efficiency and developing world trade. The number of standardization bodies which have accepted the Code of Good Practice for the Preparation, Adoption and Application of Standards presented in Annex 3 to the WTO's TBT Agreement underlines the global importance and reach of this accord.

IEC standards provide industry and users with the framework for economies of design, greater product and service quality, more inter-operability, and better production and delivery efficiency. At the same time, IEC's standards also encourage an improved quality of life by contributing to safety, human health and the protection of the environment.

For more information please refer to <http://www.iec.ch/>

3.2.2 Review aspects of IEC86C

TC86 Family




Fig. 2 IEC86C family

Fig.2 shows the IEC86C family. As we can see, IEC86C deals with 4 WPs:

WP1 about optical communication systems and subsystems

WP2 about optical amplifier

WP4 – about optical active devices

WP5 – about Dynamic modules

This section provides a brief summary the IEC recommendations for technical specifications or guidelines for optical issues as well as monitoring and its features. Several drafts deal with dynamic optical modules and are covered in 86C. The followed documents list covers several issues dealing with the standardization activity in optical dynamic module.

- 62343 Dynamic Modules Standards – General and Guidance
- 62342-1 Dynamic Modules – Performance Standards
- 62343-1-2 Performance Standards – Dynamic Chromatic Dispersion Compensator with Pigtailed for Use in Controlled Environments (Category C)
- 62343-1-3 Performance Standards – Dynamic Gain Tilt Equalizer with Pigtailed for Use in Controlled Environments (Category C)

62343-1-4	Performance Standards – Polarization-Mode Dispersion Compensator with Pigtailed for Use in Controlled Environments (Category C)
62343-2	Dynamic Modules – Reliability Qualification
62343-3	Dynamic Modules – Performance Specification Templates
62343-3-1	Dynamic Channel Equalizers
62343-4	Dynamic Modules – Software and Hardware Interface Standards
62343-4-1	Dynamic Modules Standard – Hardware and Software Interfaces
62343-5	Dynamic Modules – Test Methods
62343-5-1	Dynamic Gain Equalizer – Response Time Measurement
62343-5-2	Dynamic Modules – Phase Ripple Measurement Method
62343-5-3	Dynamic Modules – Monitoring test of mechanical shock and vibration
62343-6-6	Dynamic Modules - Failure Mode Effect Analysis for Optical Units of Dynamic Modules

Not all of these documents deal with monitoring in optical modules, thus, we had focused below only on the relevant documents with activities that can contribute to DICONET.

Some draft provides inputs about PMD (Polarization Mode Dispersion) DGE (dynamic Gain Equalizer) and tunable module. PMD is a becoming a limit for today/future networks. The PMD is a phenomenon in which for polarized light, two modes are propagated through a single-mode fiber and become separated as they propagate. The separation at the output of the fiber is the DGD, resulting in a system impairment that varies also with state of polarization. Monitoring PMD is extremely important for high bit rate networks. The document “PMD-DICONET.doc” discusses PMDC issue and was examined in WP3.2. This document deals with measurement techniques of various PMDC proposed by the equipment manufacturers. (The documents can be found also in D 3.2). The dynamic gains tilt equalization control has a great impact on networks functionality, reliability and optimization in both performance and economy aspects. A dynamic gain equalizer (DGE) in reconfigurable next generation WDM systems must have the capability of flattening any optical profile with irregular power levels. The DGE module has the ability to control the attenuation profile and thus plays an important role in monitoring spectral response over wide dynamic range of desired band.

We have followed the IEC-86c standard which deals with tunable modules. Although the main contribution of this document is to analyze and propose measuring and investigating dynamic gain tilt equalizer Response time, these methods could be served for dynamic networks behavioral forecast (See 86c-62343-5-1).

The Document 62343-6-6 “Dynamic Modules - Failure Mode Effect Analysis for Optical Units of Dynamic Modules” can serve as an input to WP4.4 about “failure localization algorithm”. The following summary provides short overview about document 62343-6-6.

3.2.2.1 Consideration of types of dynamic modules

There are many types of dynamic modules; dynamic channel equalizer, tunable optical chromatic dispersion compensator, dynamic gain tilt equalizer, wavelength selective switch, wavelength blocker, optical performance monitor, optical switch, and so on. Main feature of dynamic modules is to control their performances during operation. In order to achieve their features, many kinds of control methods are used for dynamic modules; MEMS (micro electro mechanical system), stepping motor, electromagnet, thermo optics, magnet optics, electro optics, LCD (liquid crystal devices), and so on.

3.2.2.2 Typical failure points

A typical optical unit for dynamic module consists of different parts: optical element, outer packages, fibre pigtailed, optical semiconductor chips, and joint points of these elements. These elements have their own failure mode, for example; break for pigtailed, displacement for joint points, and so on. Moreover, these elements may have their acceleration factor of degradation; for example joint points fixed by adhesive are generally weak against high humidity, and so on. These failure mode analysis can be referred to FMEA for passive optical components (refer 62005-3).

There are special considerations for dynamic module. Here are some examples; When the hermetic sealing structure is used, it may be omitted damp heat test because it can generally prevent to enter humidity. When using MEMS, operating shock and vibration test are necessary because MEMS is sensitive against mechanical shock and vibration. When temperature control is used, temperature cycling test is recommended because temperature control functions generally produce thermal stress by temperature distribution of modules and temperature cycling test can accelerate thermal stress.

3.2.2.3 Failure modes and known failure mechanisms

For some dynamic modules, failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) was carried out. Table 2 (In Appendix V) shows known failure mechanisms, failure effects, failure modes, relevant tests and IEC test document number for dynamic modules. Relevant tests are listed with the failure effect and the dominant known failure mechanism. As other relevant tests or methods of failure mode excitation become known, these should also be added in a supplementary table and published.

4. Proposals to ITU-T Q6/15

4.1 On Physical Layer modeling

In DICONET project, Task3.3 is to develop and evaluate the key DICONET output, the network planning and operation tool (NPOT). One of the important modules of NPOT is the Q-tool, the physical layer performance evaluator. The Q-tool was developed to cover the need for an efficient module that would evaluate the impact of the physical layer impairments on the signal quality. In the framework of the development of the tool effort was made to identify the dominant impairments, implement the physical layer models and integrate them into a single figure of merit, the Q-factor.

Based on the output of the physical layer modeling, two proposals have been submitted to ITU-T Q6/15[47][48] meeting held in Geneva, 31 May-11 June. 2010.

1. One [47] is “Proposal on considerations of interactions of PMD and filtering effects with nonlinear effects in optical transmissions in G.680”, which discusses the interaction of linear impairments (PMD and Filtering effects) with nonlinear effects (SPM/XPM) in optical transmissions. Full text of this proposal can be found in Appendix I.

This proposal is highly recognized by the Q6 plenary meeting, according to the meeting minutes [46], “C 1047 contained a proposal to consider the interactions of PMD and filtering effects with nonlinear effects for optical signal quality evaluation in G.680. The meeting agreed that it would be very useful to add this topic to G.680. The meeting further agreed that before adding a heading in G.680, proposals with specific text would be required.”

2. The other one [48] is “Proposal of suggestions on procedures to build physical impairments models for signal quality evaluation in G.680”, which suggests the procedures of building physical layer models by first building the physical layer models for nonlinear effects (e.g. SPM/XPM), and then calibrate the models based on the linear impairments like CD, PMD and filtering effects due to the interactions between linear and nonlinear impairments. Full text of this proposal can be found in Appendix II.

This proposal is also highly recognized by the Q6 plenary meeting, according to the meeting minutes [46], “C 1050 contained a proposal with suggestions on procedures to build physical impairment models for signal quality evaluation in G.680. The meeting agreed that the proposals in C 1050 were a useful input for the discussion on modeling linear and non-linear impairment. The meeting also felt that more specific proposals for modeling these effects were required.

With respect to the general need for a break-through methodology to assess the impairments in both the amplitude and phase domain along any point in the transmission fibre, the meeting agreed that it would be useful to start investigating the possibility of defining a set of criteria to limit non-linearities in such a way that it would be possible to define an appropriate model for concatenating multiple line-segments in G.680. In any case extensive simulations on non-linear effects would be necessary to assess these criteria. As soon as the results of this will be available, then it will be possible to assess the usefulness of possible network limits to operators.”

4.2 On Optical performance monitoring

In DICONET project, Task3.1 is for efficient optical layer impairment monitoring. Based on the output of this task, one proposal has been also submitted to ITU-T Q6/15 [49] meeting held in Geneva, 31 May-11 June. 2010.

This proposal entitled “Propose to add the monitoring time requirement based on application scenarios in G.697”, which suggests adding some text on the monitoring time requirement based on application scenarios to be included in G.697. Full text of this proposal can be found in Appendix III.

However, this proposal is considered as out of the scope of G.697 according to the meeting minute [46], “C 1049 contained a proposal to add some text on the monitoring time requirement based on application scenarios in G.697. The meeting felt that including requirements on monitoring time in G.697 would be outside the scope of this Recommendation and it would seem to be more in line with the work of Q.14/15.”

5. Proposals to IETF CCAMP

In the framework of DICONET project, standard control plane protocols must be extended to make them aware of physical impairments. In WP5, we have mainly studied two approaches: one is based on centralized Path Calculation Element (PCE) architecture, and the other one is based on distributed hybrid architecture. In the PCE architecture, OSPF-TE protocols are extended to carry the physical layer information, while standard RSVP-TE protocols are used for signaling. Based on the output of WP5, one proposal entitled “OSPF Extensions in Support of Impairment Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSONs)” has been submitted to IETF Common Control and Measurement Plane (CCAMP) [50]. This proposal suggests the definition of extensions for OSPF to support Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) and Path Computation Element (PCE) control of Impairment Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSONs). Full text of this draft can be found in Appendix IV.

Another draft partially supported by DICONET project was also submitted to IETF CCAMP [8]. This draft discusses how the definition and characterization of optical fiber, devices, subsystems, and network elements contained in various ITU-T recommendations can be combined with GMPLS control plane protocols and mechanisms to support Impairment Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment (IA-RWA) in optical networks.

6. Conclusions

This deliverable first surveys the current status of optical control plane standardization activities at ITU-T, IETF, and OIF, and then the overview of the optical performance monitoring standards activity in ITU-T and IEC. The aim of the survey is to provide inputs for the standards to be implemented or taken into consideration in DICONET. Based on the

DICONET results, several proposals have been submitted to ITU-T and IETF. More specifically, two proposals submitted to ITU-T on physical layer modeling, which are highly recognized; and one proposal submitted to ITU-T on optical performance monitoring. One proposal submitted to IETF on OSPF-TE protocol extensions to include physical impairments. Another draft partially supported by DICONET on definition and characterization of optical elements in GMPLS control plane protocols to support IA-RWA in optical networks was also submitted to IETF. This proposal is now a working group (WG) draft.

7. References

- [1] “Minutes of joint meeting between members of IETF’s CCAMP WG and Q.6/15” ITU-T Q.6/15 WD6-38, March 2009.
- [2] Fatai Zhang, G. Bernstein, Young Lee, Dan Li, Jianrui Han, “OSPF Extensions in Support of Routing and Wavelength Assignment (RWA) in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSONs)”, work in progress: draft-zhang-ccamp-wson-routing-ospf-00.txt, March 2009.
- [3] G. Bernstein, Sugang Xu, Y. Lee, Hiroaki Harai, D. King, “Signaling Extensions for Wavelength Switched Optical Networks”, work in progress: draft-bernstein-ccamp-wson-signaling-03.txt, October 2008.
- [4] Y. Lee, G. Bernstein, T. Takeda, T. Otani, “PCEP Requirements for WSON Routing and Wavelength Assignment”, work in progress: draft-lee-pce-wson-routing-wavelength-04.txt, March 2009.
- [5] T. Otani, H. Guo, K. Miyazaki, D. Caviglia, "Generalized Labels for G.694 Lambda-Switching Capable Label Switching Routers (LSR)", work in progress, draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-g-694-lambda-labels-04.txt, March 2009.
- [6] Y. Lee, G. Bernstein, D. Li, W. Imajuku, "Routing and Wavelength Assignment Information Model for Wavelength Switched Optical Networks", draft-bernstein-ccamp-wson-info-03.txt, March, 2009.
- [7] G. Bernstein, Y. Lee, D. Li, W. Imajuku, "Routing and Wavelength Assignment Information Encoding for Wavelength Switched Optical networks", work in progress, draft-ietf-ccamp-rwa-wson-encode-01.txt, March 2009.
- [8] G. Bernstein, Y. Lee, D. Li, G. Martinelli, "A Framework for the Control of Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSON) with Impairments", work in progress: draft-bernstein-ccamp-wson-impairments-05.txt, May 2008.
- [9] G. Martinelli (ed.) and A. Zanardi (ed.), "GMPLS Signaling Extensions for Optical Impairment Aware Lightpath Setup", Work in Progress: draft-martinelli-ccamp-optical-imp-signaling-01.txt, February 2008.
- [10] Y. Lee, G. Bernstein, "Information Model for Impaired Optical Path Validation", work in progress: draft-bernstein-wson-impairment-info-01.txt, February 2009.
- [11] G. Bernstein, Y. Lee, “Information Encoding for Impaired Optical Path Validation”, work in progress: draft-bernstein-wson-impairment-encode-00.txt, March 2009.
- [12] S. Seno, “Requirement of Impairment Compensation Control in WSON”, work in progress: draft-seno-ccamp-wson-impairment-compensate-ctrl-00.txt, March 2009.
- [13] Lou Berger, “Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching Signaling Functional Description”, IETF RFC 3471, January 2003.

- [14] Mannie E., “Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Architecture”, RFC 3945, October 2004.
- [15] Papadimitriou, D., “GMPLS Signaling Extensions for G.709 Optical Transport Networks Control”, RFC 4328, January 2006.
- [16] J.P. Vasseur and J.L. Le Roux, “Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol (PCEP)”, IETF RFC 5440, March 2009.
- [17] Tomohiro Otani, “Generalized Labels of Lambda-Switching Capable Label Switching Routers (LSR)”, work in progress at IETF, draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-g-694-lambda-labels-04.txt, March 23, 2009.
- [18] Mannie, E. & D. Papadimitriou, “GMPLS Extensions for Synchronous Optical Network (SONET) and Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) Control”, IETF RFC 4606, August 2006.
- [19] Strand, J. & A. Chiu, “Impairments and Other Constraints on Optical Layer Routing”, RFC 4054, May 2005.
- [20] Dimitri Papadimitriou and Adrian Farrel, “Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) RSVP-TE Signaling Extensions in Support of Calls”, IETF RFC 4974, August 2007
- [21] “User Network Interface (UNI) 1.0 Signaling Specification”, The Optical Internet-working Forum (OIF), October 2001
- [22] “User Network Interface (UNI) 2.0 Signaling Specification: Common Part”, The Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF), February 2008
- [23] “OIF E-NNI Signaling Specification (OIF E-NNI 2.0)”, The Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF), working document (OIF2005.381.30), February 2009.
- [24] “OIF E-NNI Routing 2.0 Implementation Agreement”, The Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF), draft document (OIF2007.379.01), November 2007
- [25] “Architecture for the automatically switched optical network (ASON)”, ITU-T Recommendation G.8080/Y.1304, June 2006
- [26] «Requirements for automatic switched transport networks (ASTN)”, ITU-T Recommendation G.807/Y.1302, July 2001.
- [27] “Generic functional architecture of transport networks”, ITU-T Recommendation G.805, March 2000
- [28] Dimitri Papadimitriou et al., “Evaluation of Existing Routing Protocols against Automatic Switched Optical Network (ASON) Routing Requirements”, IETF RFC 4139, October 2006
- [29] Deborah Brungard et al., “Requirements for Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Routing for the Automatically Switched Optical Network (ASON)”, IETF RFC4258, November 2005
- [30] Dimitri Papadimitriou et al., “Requirements for Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) Signaling Usage and Extensions for Automatically Switched Optical Network (ASON)”, IETF RFC 4139, July 2005
- [31] Young Lee et al., “Framework for GMPLS and PCE Control of Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSO)”, works in progress at IETF, draft-ietf-ccamp-rwa-wson-framework-02.txt, March 2009.
- [32] Jean-Paul Faure, “From ROADM technology to TOADM technology: on the path towards optical restoration and fully flexible networks”, ECOC’2008.
- [33] D. Verchere, B. Berde, O. Audouin, L. Daans, G. Grammel, J. Fernandez, J. Lobo, “Efficient Recovery Strategies for IP/MPLS over Optical Transport Networks”, ECOC’2006.

- [34] D. Papadimitriou, J. P. Faure, O. Audouin, R. Appelman, “Non-linear Routing Impairments in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks”, IETF draft (deprecated), May 2001, <http://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-papadimitriou-ipo-non-linear-routing-impairm-01.txt>
- [35] Andre Fredette et al., “Link Management Protocol (LMP) for Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) Optical Line Systems”, IETF RFC 4209, October 2005
- [36] L. Berger, “Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Resource reSerVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions,” *RFC 3473*, Jan 2003.
- [37] J. Wroclawski, “The Use of RSVP with IETF Integrated Services,” *RFC2210*, Sep 1997.
- [38] A. Farrel *et al.*, “Encoding of Attributes for Multiprotocol Label Switching Label Switched Path Establishment Using Resource reSerVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering,” *RFC 4420*, Feb 2006.
- [39] A. Farrel *et al.*, “Encoding of Attributes for Multiprotocol Label Switching Label Switched Path Establishment Using Resource reSerVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering,” *IETF draft*, May 2008.
- [40] R. Atkinson *et al.*, “Default IP MTU for use over ATM AAL5,” *RFC 1626*, May 1994.
- [41] J. Kaplan *et al.*, “Extended Ethernet Frame Size Support,” *IETF draft*, Nov 1999.
- [42] <http://www.w3.org/TR/soap/>
- [43] <http://www.xmlrpc.com/>
- [44] “Optical Monitoring for DWDM systems”, ITU-T Recommendation G. 697, June. 2004
- [45] “Guide on the use of the ITU-T SG15 Recommendations related to optical technology”, ITU-T Supplement 42, Feb. 2008
- [46] Report of the Q6/15 meeting, Geneva, 31 May-11 June, 2010.
- [47] C 1047 Proposal on considerations of interactions of PMD and filtering effects with nonlinear effects in optical transmissions in G.680, <http://www.itu.int/md/T09-SG15-C-1047/en>
- [48] C 1050 Proposal of suggestions on procedures to build physical impairments models for signal quality evaluation in G.680, <http://www.itu.int/md/T09-SG15-C-1050/en>
- [49] C 1049 Propose to add the monitoring time requirement based on application scenarios in G.697, <http://www.itu.int/md/T09-SG15-C-1049/en>
- [50] Fernando Agraz, Yabin Ye, “OSPF Extensions in Support of Impairment Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSONs)” <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-agraz-ccamp-wson-impairment-ospf-00>

Appendix I

Question(s):	6	Meeting, date:	Geneva, 31 May - 11 June 2010
Study Group:	15	Working Party:	2
		Intended type of document (R-C-TD):	C
Source:	Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., China Unicom		
Title:	Proposal on considerations of interactions of PMD and filtering effects with nonlinear effects in optical transmissions in G.680		
Contact:	Yabin Ye Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. P.R.China		Tel: +49-891588344078 Fax: Email: yabin.ye@huawei.com
Contact:	Yanlong Wang China United Network Communications Group Company Limited P.R.China		Tel: +86-10-88018758 Fax: +86-10-88018614 Email: wangyanlong@btpdi.com.cn
Contact:	Zhiyong Feng Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. P. R. China		Tel: +86-755-28973597 Fax: +86-755-28972935 Email: zhyfeng@huawei.com

Please don't change the structure of this table, just insert the necessary information.

1. Introduction

The current G.680 has discussed the impacts of some linear impairments, such as chromatic dispersion (CD), polarization mode dispersion (PMD), etc. on the optical signal quality. However, the impacts of nonlinear impairments, such as self-phase modulation (SPM), cross-phase modulation (XPM), four wave mixing (FWM), as well as the interaction between the linear and nonlinear impairments are not defined yet.

According to the report of the Q.6/15 in ITU-T meeting held in Beijing in March 2009.

“With respect to the challenge to define a break-through model for G.680 Scenario 2 to assess the impairments in both the amplitude and phase domain along any point in the transmission fibre, the meeting felt it to be useful to reconfirm the feasibility of this plan at the June 2010 SG15 meeting. Participants were invited to continue this debate by correspondence.”

This contribution discusses the interaction of linear impairments (PMD and Filtering effects) with nonlinear effects (SPM/XPM) in optical transmissions.

2. Discussion

PMD is due to the non-perfect cylindrical symmetry in the fiber, which leads to birefringence because of different mode indices associated with the orthogonally polarized components of the fundamental fiber mode. If the input pulse excites both polarization components, it becomes broader as the two components disperse along the fiber because of their different group velocities. This is referred to as PMD-induced pulse broadening, which will degrade the signal quality.

The effects of nonlinear impairments (SPM/XPM/FWM) differ for different polarizations. Usually, if one channel keeps its polarization state (e.g. linear polarization), then it incurs the largest SPM effect. For WDM transmission, if all the channels have the same linear polarization states, then the multi-channel nonlinear effects XPM and FWM will also have the largest impact. However, in a real fiber, the polarization states of the optical signals change randomly due to PMD, therefore the strength of the nonlinear effects is reduced and then the signal degrades less, as proved by simulation results in Fig.1. Therefore it is suggested that PMD should be considered also for nonlinear impairment evaluation in the system.




Fig. 1 Launch Power vs. ROSNR @ BER 1e-3 for 40G RZ-DQPSK, 50GHz system with 20spans (80km SSMF each span and fully compensated, -20km SSMF pre-compensation and optimized post-compensation)

In a WDM network, usually signals need to pass through multiple filters, for example the MUX/DMUX filters and interleavers at the beginning and the end of a optical signal, WSS filters at ROADMs for wavelength add/drop or switching. As it is stated in G.680, the concatenation of these filters can produce a serious effect on the transmitted channel since the total transmission window becomes narrower. On the other hand, the nonlinear effects SPM/XPM can broaden the optical spectrum of the transmitted signal, therefore the filtering effects have larger impact on the signal quality for nonlinear transmission. Fig.2 shows the OSNR penalty vs. the number of Spans (each span with one WSS) for 40G RZ-DQPSK, 50GHz system. As it can be seen from Fig.2 that the total OSNR Penalty for WSS with nonlinear transmission is much larger than the sum of individual OSNR penalties from nonlinear transmission without WSS and linear transmission with WSS.




Fig. 2 Number of WSSs vs. the OSNR Penalty @ BER 1e-3 for 40G RZ-DQPSK 50G spacing system

3. Proposal

It is proposed to consider the interactions of PMD and Filtering effects with nonlinear effects for optical signal quality evaluation. Though PMD can affect the signal quality by broadening the pulse, it can mitigate the impact of nonlinear effects. Filtering effects have larger impact under nonlinear transmission since the nonlinear effects can broaden the signal optical spectrum and more part will be filtered out.

Appendix II

Question(s):	6	Meeting, date:	Geneva, 31 May - 11 June 2010
Study Group:	15	Working Party:	2
		Intended type of document (R-C-TD):	C
Source:	Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.		
Title:	Proposal of suggestions on procedures to build physical impairments models for signal quality evaluation in G.680		
Contact:	Yabin Ye Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. P. R. China		Tel: +49-89-1588344078 Fax: +49-89-1588344447 Email: yabin.ye@huawei.com
Contact:	Gernot Goeger Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. P. R. China		Tel.: +49-89-1588344077 Fax: +49-89-1588344447 Email: gernot.goeger@huawei.com
Contact:	Zhiyong Feng Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. P. R. China		Tel: +86-755-28973597 Fax: +86-755-28972935 Email: zhyfeng@huawei.com

Please don't change the structure of this table, just insert the necessary information.

1. Introduction

G. 680 has defined some linear impairments need to be considered for signal quality evaluation. However, to correctly evaluate the quality of an optical signal, not only nonlinear impairments must be included, but also the interactions between nonlinear and linear impairments should be considered.

This contribution discusses the procedures to build physical impairments models in case of nonlinear transmissions.

2. Discussion

In general, the optical impairments affecting the signal quality can be separated into two categories, i.e. linear impairments and nonlinear impairments. Chromatic dispersion (CD), insertion loss, amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise, crosstalk, polarization mode dispersion (PMD), polarization dependent loss (PDL), etc. belong to the first category, while the self-phase modulation (SPM), cross-phase modulation (XPM), four-wave mixing (FWM), Stimulated Brillouin Scattering (SBS), and Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS) belong to the second one.

For linear transmission, i.e. the launch power of the optical signal is low enough that none of the nonlinear impairments in optical fibers is taking effect, the impact of the linear impairments on the signal quality is relatively easy to be modeled. For example, the impact of CD can be modeled by considering the total residual CD at the end of the transmission link; the impact of PMD can also be modeled by considering the total accumulated PMD at the end of the transmission link.

However, in case of the nonlinear transmission, i.e. the launch power of the optical signal is high enough that some of the nonlinear impairments begin to have effect on the signal quality, since the interactions of linear and nonlinear impairments it is rather difficult to build simple models for signal quality evaluation.

In the following, we focus our discussion for 10/40G nonlinear transmission in G.652 fiber systems. Since G.652 fibre has large chromatic dispersion and effective area, the FWM impairment can be neglected. According to Recommendation G. 663, the effects of SBS and SRS are also not considered. Therefore, only SPM/XPM nonlinear impairments need to be considered.

Due to the interaction of the nonlinear impairments with linear impairments, it is suggested to first build models for nonlinear impairments, e.g. the OSNR penalty caused by SPM/XPM, and then evaluate the influence of introducing the linear impairments by either directly adding the OSNR penalties caused by the linear impairments or modifying the models for SPM/XPM. Here are the proposed steps:

- 1) Build SPM/XPM models for a targeted (ideal) dispersion map by assuming optimized post-compensation at the receiver side.
- 2) Build models for CD:
 - a. The total residual CD at the end of the transmission link. Its effect also depends on the accumulated nonlinear effects along the transmission link. In general, the CD tolerance after transmission is smaller than the one of back to back.
 - b. The deviation of the CD, which can be represented by the difference between the actual CD and the targeted (ideal) CD of each span. Due to the interplay of CD and nonlinear effects along the transmission link, their effects also vary.
- 3) Build models for PMD:
 - a. Linear effect: PMD causes the pulse broadening and induces signal penalty;
 - b. Interaction with nonlinear effects: The effects of SPM/XPM differ for different polarizations. Usually, if one channel keeps its polarization state (e.g. linear polarization), then it incurs the largest SPM effect. For WDM transmission, if all the channels have the same linear polarization states, then the multi-channel nonlinear effects XPM will also have the largest impact. However, in a real fiber, the polarization states of the optical signals change randomly due to PMD, therefore the strength of the nonlinear effects is reduced and then the signal degrades less.
- 4) Build models for filtering effects:
 - a. Filtering effects for linear transmission: the concatenation of the filters in the network results in the narrower transmission window and therefore causes transmission penalty.
 - b. Filtering effects for nonlinear transmission: the nonlinear effects SPM/XPM can broaden the optical spectrum of the transmitted signal, the transmission penalty is enlarged because more part of the optical spectrum is filtered out.

3. Proposal

Due to the interactions between linear and nonlinear impairments, in order to correctly evaluate the signal quality, it is proposed to first build the physical layer models for nonlinear effects (e.g. SPM/XPM), and then calibrate the models based on the linear impairments like CD, PMD and filtering effects.

Appendix III

Question(s):	6	Meeting, date:	Geneva, 31 May - 11 June 2010
Study Group:	15	Working Party:	2
Intended type of document (R-C-D- C TD):			
Source:	Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd China Unicom		
Title:	Propose to add the monitoring time requirement based on application scenarios in G.697		
Contact:	Ning Liu Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. P.R.China	Tel: +86-755-28972903 Fax: +86-755-28977283 Email: grodonliu@huawei.com	
Contact:	Guangquan Wang China Unicom P.R.China	Tel: +86-10-68799999-6753 Fax: +86-10-68799696 Email: wanggg@dimpt.com	
Contact:	Pei Zhang China Unicom P.R.China	Tel: +86-10-66257021 Fax: +86-10-66258983 Email: hq-zhangp@chinaunicom.cn	
Contact:	Zhiyong Feng Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. P. R. China	Tel: +86-755-28973597 Fax: +86-755-28972935 Email: zhyfeng@huawei.com	

Please don't change the structure of this table, just insert the necessary information.

1. Introduction

This contribution proposes to add some text on the monitoring time requirement based on application scenarios to be included in G.697.

2. Proposal

In Appendix III of G.697, some optical monitoring performances are recommended for different monitoring parameters with different application scenarios. Those performances include accuracy, repeatability, and measurement range. However, the monitoring time is not involved. In the conventional transmission network with electrical monitoring, the monitoring time is quite short since each channel has its own electrical monitoring mechanism. However, due to the high cost of optical monitoring, a great number of channels and fibers normally share a common optical monitoring equipment. It may induce a much longer monitoring time for each channel under monitoring. For keeping the proper network operation, the monitoring time is important. Consequently, it is proposed to add a recommendation for the monitoring time of each monitoring parameter. The required monitoring time can be discussed based on the application scenarios, such as protection, fault localization, degradation detection, impairment mitigation, provision and restoration. In the following part, we give an example of the tentative required monitoring time for OSNR monitoring. Note that it's just an example for illustrating how to define a reasonable requirement. The specification can be further discussed.

3. Example - required monitoring time for OSNR monitoring

If the monitored OSNR is used as a trigger for protection and restoration, the monitoring acquisition time of each channel should be smaller than 10 ms and every channel should be monitored simultaneously. It is not cost-efficient. Moreover, although OSNR is an accurate signal quality estimator, it cannot work as an overall digital signal transmission quality indicator as bit-error-rate (BER) and Q-factor. The signal may suffer from other optical impairment such as chromatic dispersion (CD), polarization mode dispersion (PMD) and fiber nonlinearities. Therefore, the in-band OSNR is not recommended using as a trigger for protection and restoration. However, if the acquisition time could be smaller than 10 ms with cost-effective solution, the OSNR monitoring can be employed as a trigger for protection and restoration together with CD/PMD and power monitoring at some important monitoring positions.

We usually consider that the network element management system have to update the displayed performance information every 15 minutes. It means that the monitoring process should not take more than about 900 seconds including the data processing. Hence we should have

$$N_c \cdot N_p \cdot T_c < 900$$

where N_c , N_p and T_c represent channel numbers, port numbers and channel monitoring time. With $N_c=80$ and $N_p=8$, it implies that $T_c < 900 / (80 \times 8) = 1.4$ s. This upper limit indicates an order of magnitude for the measurement of each channel.

Appendix IV

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Category: Informational
Expires: January 4, 2011

Fernando Agraz
UPC
Yabin Ye
Huawei
July 5, 2010

OSPF Extensions in Support of Impairment Aware Routing and
Wavelength Assignment in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks
(WSONs)

draft-agraz-ccamp-wson-impairment-ospf-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 4, 2011.

Abstract

This document provides OSPF extensions to support Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) and Path Computation Element (PCE) control of Impairment Aware Routing and Wavelength Assignment in Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSONs).

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. OSPF-TE Extensions	2
2.1. The Link PLI TLV	3
2.2. The Node PLI TLV	4
2.3. The sub-TLVs	6
2.3.1. Link ID sub-TLV	6
2.3.2. Local Interface IP sub-TLV	6
2.3.3. Remote Interface IP sub-TLV	7
2.3.4. Component Impairment sub-TLV	7
2.3.5. The Impairment Parameters	10
3. Security Considerations	13
4. IANA Considerations	13
5. Acknowledgments	13
6. References	13
6.1. Normative References	13
6.2. Informative References	13
7. Authors' Addresses	14
8. Contributors	14

1. Introduction

[Imp-Frame] provides a framework for applying GMPLS and the Path Computation Element architecture to the control of WSONs to address the Impairment Aware RWA problem. This document defines extensions to the OSPF routing protocol to distribute physical layer impairments (PLI) information which will be used in the path computation process for GMPLS or PCE control case.

The protocol extensions is implemented and emulated in the "Dynamic Impairment Constraint Networking for Transparent Mesh Optical Networks" (DICONET) project which is funded by European Commission through the 7th Framework programme. The intent of this document is to show the result of DICONET project and provide an input related to OSPF extensions for CCAMP in IETF.

2. OSPF-TE Extensions

Two top-TLVs are defined to describe PLI, the Link PLI TLV and the Node PLI TLV, each of which is composed of several sub-TLVs. Note that each PLI LSA instance can only contain one top-TLV.

2.1 The Link PLI TLV

The Link PLI TLV describes the physical layer impairments related to the link. Each link in the network may have its own Link PLI TLV and it will be flooded into the network. It is stored in the database of each node as a composition of the PLI LSA. The Link PLI TLV is composed of Link ID sub-TLV, Local Interface IP sub-TLV, Remote Interface IP sub-TLV and Component Impairment sub-TLV, as shown in Figure 1.





Figure 1. The composition of Link PLI TLV

2.2 The Node PLI TLV

The Node PLI TLV describes the physical layer impairments related to the node. Each node in the network may have its own Node PLI TLV and it will be flooded into the network. It is also stored in the database of each node as a composition of the PLI LSA. The Node PLI TLV is composed of Node IP sub-TLV and Component Impairment sub-TLV, as shown in Figure 2.






Figure 2. The composition of Node PLI TLV

Node IP Sub-TLV must appear exactly once in the Top-TLV and must be the first Sub-TLV in the Top-TLV. Currently there is only one Component impairment sub-TLV (describing the impairment information of a Physical node) will be included.

2.3 The sub-TLVs

There are five kinds of sub-TLVs:

2.3.1 Link ID sub-TLV

The Link ID sub-TLV is the composition of the Link PLI TLV, as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Link ID sub-TLV Format

The link ID is the same format as defined in RFC3630, where:
Sub-TLV type = 2
Sub-TLV length = 4
Link ID = remote router (node) IP address

2.3.2 Local Interface IP sub-TLV

The Local Interface IP sub-TLV is the composition of the Link PLI TLV, as shown in Figure 4.






Figure 4. Local Interface IP sub-TLV Format

The Local interface IP sub-TLV is the same format as defined in RFC3630, where:
Sub-TLV type = 3
Sub-TLV length = 4
Local interface IP address = the IP address of local interface of the link

2.3.3 Remote Interface IP sub-TLV

The Remote Interface IP sub-TLV is the composition of the Link PLI TLV, as shown in Figure 5




Figure 5. Remote Interface IP sub-TLV Format

The Remote interface IP sub-TLV is the same format as defined in RFC3630, where:
Sub-TLV type = 4
Sub-TLV length = 4
Remote interface IP address = the IP address of remote interface of the link. If the remote interface IP is unknown, this field will fill with 0

2.3.4 Component Impairment sub-TLV

The Component impairments sub-TLV is the composition of both the Link PLI TLV and the Node PLI TLV, as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. Component impairments sub-TLV Format

- (2) Sub-sub-TLV length = the total length of the Value field in Byte (variable)
- (3) Value :: Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV [, Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV, ...]

2. Wavelength_related Impairment Sub-sub-TLV:

Typically, if there are N wavelengths in the link or node, then N Wavelength_related Impairment Sub-sub-TLVs should be contained. It's recommended to order the N Wavelength_related Impairment Sub-sub-TLVs by the wavelength (from low to high). If no wavelength_related impairment parameters, this Sub-sub-TLV is not needed. The Wavelength_related Impairment Sub-sub-TLV is defined as shown in Figure 8:




Figure 8. Wavelength_related Impairment Sub-sub-TLV Format

The Wavelength_related Sub-sub-TLV (=2):

- (1) impairment sub-sub-TLV type = 2
- (2) Sub-sub-TLV length = the total length of the Value field in Byte (variable)
- (3) Value :: Wavelength, Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV [, Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV, ...]

The first line (4 Bytes) of the Value field represents a Wavelength with the format that is consistent with [WSON-Label]. One or more Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLVs are presented below the Wavelength. Each Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV describes a special impairment parameter of the component per wavelength

2.3.5 The Impairment Parameters

As mentioned in both the Wavelength_unrelated Sub-sub-TLV and the Wavelength_related Sub-sub-TLV appears Impairment Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV, s shown in Figure 9:




Figure 9. Impairment Parameter Sub-sub-sub-TLV Format

For the Link PLI TLV, the Parameter Types are defined as shown in Table I:

Table I. Table of Parameter Types for the Link PLI TLV

Component Type	Parameter Type	Wavelength Related?
1=Transmitter	1=BitRate	Related
	2=Power	Related
	3=Center wavelength (for each channel)	Related
	4=RefWavelength	Unrelated
	5=Extinction ratio	Related
	6=Modulation format	Related
	7=Type of FEC	Related
1 = Fiber or 2 = DCM	21=fiber type	Unrelated
	22=fiber length	Unrelated
	23=Dispersion parameter	Unrelated
	24=Dispersion slope	Unrelated
	25=Linear attenuation	Unrelated
	26=Nonlinear parameter	Unrelated
	27=Effective core area	Unrelated
	28=PMD	Unrelated
	29=Insertion Loss	Unrelated
	3=Attenuator	61=Attenuation
	81=Responsivity	Related
	82=Absolute threshold level	Related
	83=Thermal noise density	Unrelated
	84=power	Related
	85=inner filter type	Unrelated
	86=inner filter order	Unrelated

4=Receiver	87= inner filter Noise equivalent bandwidth factor	Unrelated
	88= inner filter 3-dB bandwidth	Related
	89=inner filter center wavelength for each channel	Related
	90=PMD	Unrelated
	91=residualCD	Unrelated
	92=Q value for each channel	Related
	93=ver for each channel	Related
	94=Insertion Loss	Unrelated
	5=Amplifier	101=Spontaneous emission factor
102=Amplifier Gain		Unrelated
103=Insertion Loss		Unrelated
104=in_power		Unrelated
105=out_power		Unrelated

For the Node PLI TLV, the Parameter Types are defined as shown in Table II:

Table II. Table of Parameter Types for the Node PLI TLV

Component Type	Parameter Type	Wavelength Related?
8=Physical node	161 = inner filter type	Unrelated
	162 = inner filter order	Unrelated
	163 = Noise equivalent bandwidth factor	Unrelated
	164 = 3-dB bandwidth	Related
	165 = center wavelength for each channel	Related

Note that table I and table II can be updated based on ITU-T definition related to physical impairments. The TLV

format does not need to be changed when the physical impairments definition updated.

3. Security Considerations

The use of control plane protocols for signaling, routing, and path computation opens an OTN to security threats through attacks on those protocols. The data plane technology for an OTN does not introduce any specific vulnerabilities, and so the control plane may be secured using the mechanisms defined for the protocols discussed.

For further details of the specific security measures refer to the documents that define the protocols ([RFC3473], [RFC4203], [RFC4205], [RFC4204], and [RFC5440]). [GMPLS-SEC] provides an overview of security vulnerabilities and protection mechanisms for the GMPLS control plane.

4. IANA Considerations

This document makes not requests for IANA action.

5. Acknowledgments

This work is supported by DICONET project under FP7/2007-2013 - GA nr 216338

6. References

6.1 Normative References

[RFC3630] Katz, D., Kompella, K., and Yeung, D., "Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions to OSPF Version 2", RFC 3630, September 2003

6.2 Informative References

[Imp-Frame] G. Bernstein, Y. Lee, D. Li, G. Martinelli, "A Framework for the Control and Measurement of Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSON) with Impairments", Work in Progress, draft-bernstein-ccamp-wson-impairments-05.txt

[WSON-Label] Tomohiro Otani, Dan Li, "Generalized Labels for Lambda-Switching Capable Label Switching Routers", Work in Progress, draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-g-694-lambda-labels-07.txt

7. Authors' Addresses

Fernando Agraz
Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya
C/Jordi Girona, 1-3 D4-S107,
08034 Barcelona, Spain

Phone: +34 9340107179
Email: agraz@tsc.upc.edu

Yabin Ye
Huawei Technologies Dusseldorf GmbH,
Riesstr. 25,D-3.0G 80992
Munich, Germany
Phone: 0049-891588344078
Email: yabin.ye@huawei.com

8. Contributors

Chava Vijaya Saradhi
CREATE-NET
Via alla Cascata 56/D-38123,
Povo-Trento, Italy
Phone: 0039-0461 408400 - ext. 401
Email: saradhi.chava@create-net.org

Antonio Francescon
CREATE-NET
Via alla Cascata 56/D-38123,
Povo-Trento, Italy
Phone: 0039-0461 408400 - ext. 605
Email: antonio.francescon@create-net.org

Intellectual Property

The IETF Trust takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in any IETF Document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights.

Copies of Intellectual Property disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement any standard or specification contained in an IETF Document. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

The definitive version of an IETF Document is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF.

Versions of IETF Documents that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of IETF Documents. The definitive version of these Legal Provisions is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of these Legal Provisions that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of these Legal Provisions.

For the avoidance of doubt, each Contributor to the IETF Standards Process licenses each Contribution that he or she makes as part of the IETF Standards Process to the IETF Trust pursuant to the provisions of RFC 5378. No language to the contrary, or terms, conditions or rights that differ from or are inconsistent with the rights and licenses granted under RFC 5378, shall have any effect and shall be null and void, whether published or posted by such Contributor, or included with or in such Contribution.

Disclaimer of Validity

All IETF Documents and the information contained therein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION THEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved..

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Appendix V

Failure Mode Effect Analysis for Optical Units of Dynamic Modules

1. Scope

This technical report describes failure mode effect analysis for optical units of dynamic modules. FMEA is one of the effective and useful analysis methods in order to determine the reliability evaluation test items and conditions which are defined in reliability qualification document; 62343-2. In order to estimate the lifetime for a module, there is a typical procedure. First step: identify the dominant failure modes, second step: determine the acceleration tests according to these failure modes, third step: carry out the test, fourth step: estimate the acceleration factors, fifth step: calculate the lifetime of the dynamic module. IEC 61300-2 documents series defines environment and mechanical tests. This technical report describes the dominant failure mode for dynamic modules and relevant tests from IEC 61300-2 series.

2. Normative references

The following references documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 61300, series: Fibre optic interconnecting devices and passive optical components- Basic tests and measurement procedures.

IEC 62005-3: Reliability of fibre optic interconnecting devices and passive optical components- Part 3: Relevant tests for evaluating failure modes and failure mechanisms for passive components.

IEC 62343-2: Dynamic modules- Part-2: Reliability qualification.

IEC 61291-5-2: Reliability qualification for optical fibre amplifiers.

3. Consideration of types of dynamic modules

There are many types of dynamic modules; dynamic channel equalizer, tunable optical chromatic dispersion compensator, dynamic gain tilt equalizer, wavelength selective switch, wavelength blocker, optical performance monitor, optical switch, and so on. Main feature of dynamic modules is to control their performances during operation. In order to achieve their features, many kinds of control methods are used for dynamic modules; MEMS (micro electro mechanical system), stepping motor, electromagnet, thermo optics, magnet optics, electro optics, LCD (liquid crystal devices), and so on.

Table 1 shows the first guidance of categorization of dynamic modules to consider how to evaluate. For dynamic modules without electrical circuit board, they can be considered similar approach as passive optical components in order to evaluate. On the other hand, for dynamic modules with control circuit board, it is necessary to consider special notice. And there are mainly two types of internal design for dynamic modules; the first one is that it is easy to divide the constituting parts to consider the reliability, and the second one is not easy to divide. It is necessary to consider how to evaluate according to these structures.

Note: This document describes FMEA only for optical units for dynamic modules. It is necessary to evaluate whole dynamic modules including control circuit boards and firmware if used.

4. Typical failure points

Besides control circuit board and control or moving parts, a typical optical unit for dynamic module consists of different parts: optical element, outer packages, fibre pigtails, optical semiconductor chips, and joint points of these elements. These elements have their own failure mode, for example; break for pigtails, displacement for joint points, and so on. Moreover, these elements may have their acceleration factor of degradation; for example joint points fixed by adhesive are generally weak against high humidity, and so on. These failure mode analysis can be referred to FMEA for passive optical components (refer 62005-3).

There are special considerations for dynamic module. Here are some examples; When the hermetic sealing structure is used, it may be omitted damp heat test because it can generally prevent to enter humidity. When using MEMS, operating shock and vibration test are necessary because MEMS is sensitive against mechanical shock and vibration. When temperature control is used, temperature cycling test is recommended because temperature control functions generally produce thermal stress by temperature distribution of modules and temperature cycling test can accelerate thermal stress.

5. Failure modes and known failure mechanisms

For some dynamic modules, failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) was carried out. Table 3 shows known failure mechanisms, failure effects, failure modes, relevant tests and IEC test document number for dynamic modules. If new technology and new dynamic modules become commercially available, they should be added to Table 2. Relevant tests are listed with the failure effect and the dominant known failure mechanism. As other relevant tests or methods of failure mode excitation become known, these should also be added in a supplementary table and published.

Table 1 – Categorization based on the structure how to evaluate

Electrical circuits		How to evaluate	Examples
Without electrical circuits	N.A.	As optical component	VOA, 1x2/2x2 optical switch, DGTE
With electrical circuit	Easy to divide optical and electrical unit	As optical and electrical units individually, and as integrated dynamic module	VOA, VOA-MUX, DCDC, DCE, Matrix switch, Channel monitor, performance monitor
	Hard to divide optical and electrical unit	To evaluate as integrated dynamic module	Wavelength blocker, wavelength selectable switch

Note 1. Constituting optical active and passive components shall comply reliability qualification requirement defined in 62572 series for active components and 62009-9 series for passive optical components, respectively.

In case to be hard to divide optical and electrical units, integrated module shall be tested (refer 62343-2).

Note 2. Electrical circuit board shall comply TC91 standard.

Note 3. Integrated dynamic module shall be tested 3 pieces for each test if constituting components are qualified (refer 62343-2).

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Variable optical attenuators	MEMS type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Mechanical stress Excess driving power	Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Maximum absolute rating test (electrical) On/off driving test	61300-2-9 61300-2-1 Understudy Understudy
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Mechanical stress Thermal stress Excess driving power	Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Shock and vibration (operating) Change of temperature Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test	61300-2-9 61300-2-1 Understudy 61300-2-22 Understudy Understudy
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	High humidity (non-hermetic sealed)	Damp heat	61300-2-19
		Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Pigtail	Fibre broken, micro-bending	Insertion loss increase No operation	Mechanical stress for pigtail	Fibre cable retention Optical fibre cable flexing	61300-2-4 61300-2-44
	Liquid crystal type	LCD	Degradation of LCD	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
			Electrical polarization of LCD	Uncontrollable	Excess driving power	Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test	Understudy Understudy

		Freezing of LCD	Uncontrollable	Low temperature	Cold	61300-2-17
	Collimator	Same as MEMS type				
	Pigtail	Same as MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references	
Variable optical attenuators	Magnet optic type	Magnet optic part	Dislocation of magnet, Faraday rotator and birefringent crystal	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1	
		Collimator						
		Pigtail	Same as MEMS type					
	Mechanical type	Moving part	Stacking the moving part		Uncontrollable	Mechanical stress High humidity (non-hermetic) Excess driving power	Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Damp heat Maximum absolute rating test (electrical) On/off driving test	61300-2-9 61300-2-1 61300-2-19 Understudy Understudy
			Degradation of moving part		Driving power increase	Mechanical stress Thermal stress Excess driving power High humidity (non-hermetic sealed)	Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Shock and vibration (operating) Change of temperature Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test Damp heat	61300-2-9 61300-2-1 Understudy 61300-2-22 Understudy Understudy 61300-2-19
			Distortion of mirror		Insertion loss increase Return loss decrease Crosstalk increase PDL increase	Mechanical stress Thermal stress Excess driving power	Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Change of temperature Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test	61300-2-9 61300-2-1 61300-2-22 Understudy Understudy
			Reflectance of mirror changing		Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	High humidity (non-hermetic sealed)	Damp heat	61300-2-19

		Collimator	Same as MEMS type
		Pigtail	Same as MEMS type

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Variable optical attenuators	Planar waveguide type (thermal optic effect)	Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
			Electrode degradation	Dynamic range of attenuation decrease	High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Excess driving power	Damp heat Maximum absolute rating test Diving test	61300-2-19 Understudy Understudy
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive)	Change of temperature Damp heat	61300-2-22 61300-2-19
	Pigtail	Same as MEMS type					

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references	
Optical switches	Mechanical type	Moving part	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA mechanical type			
			Degradation of moving part	Driving power increase Switching time increase	Same as VOA mechanical type			
			Distortion of mirror	Insertion loss increase Return loss decrease Crosstalk increase PDL increase	Same as VOA mechanical type			
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA mechanical type			
		Collimator	Same as VOA MEMS type	Insertion loss increase Crosstalk increase PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA mechanical type			
	Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type						
	Planar waveguide type (thermal optic effect)	Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Return loss decrease PDL increase	Crosstalk	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
			Electrode degradation	Crosstalk increase		Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase		Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references	
Optical switches	MEMS type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type			
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Crosstalk increase Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type			
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Crosstalk increase Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type			
		Collimator	Same as VOA MEMS type	Same as VOA MEMS type except; Crosstalk increase instead of Attenuation change	Same as VOA MEMS type			
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					
	Electrical optics type (LN)	Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Crosstalk increase Driving voltage changing	Thermal stress Mechanical stress Excess driving power	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Maximum absolute rating test Diving test	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1 Understudy	
			Electrode degradation	Crosstalk increase	Same as switch PLC TO (VOA PLC TO) type			
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase	Same as switch PLC TO (VOA PLC TO) type			
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Tunable filters (electrical control)	MEMS etalon type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change Return loss decrease PDL increase FWHM increase Tunable wavelength range decrease	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Reflectance of etalon mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change Return loss decrease PDL increase FWHM increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
		Collimator	Same as VOA MEMS type	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change Return loss decrease PDL increase FWHM increase Tunable wavelength range decrease	Same as VOA MEMS type		
	Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					
	PZT etalon type	PZT	PZT degradation	Driving voltage increase	High humidity Thermal stress Excess driving	Damp heat Change of temperature Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test	61300-2-19 61300-2-22 Understudy
		Etalon mirror	Reflectance of etalon mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change Return loss decrease PDL increase FWHM increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		

		Optical part and collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change FWHM increase Tunable wavelength range decrease	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Tunable filters (electrical control)	Thin film filter mechanical control type	Moving part	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA mechanical type		
			Degradation of moving part	Driving power increase	Same as VOA mechanical type		
			Thin film filter degradation	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change FWHM increase	High humidity (non-hermetic sealed)	Damp heat	61300-2-19
		Collimator	Same as VOA MEMS type	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change Return loss decrease PDL increase FWHM increase Tunable wavelength range decrease	Same as VOA MEMS type		
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Dynamic chromatic dispersion compensators	VIPA type	Moving part	Degradation of stepping motor	Uncontrollable Dynamic range of CD decrease	Thermal stress Mechanical stress Excess driving High humidity (non-hermetic)	Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Shock and vibration (operating) Change of temperature Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test Damp heat	61300-2-9 61300-2-1 Understudy 61300-2-22 Understudy Understudy 61300-2-19
		VIPA mirror	Distortion of VIPA mirror	Centre-wavelength change Insertion loss increase GDR increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature Damp heat High temperature Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-19 61300-2-18 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
			Temperature uncontrollable	Centre-wavelength change Insertion loss increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature Damp heat High temperature Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-19 61300-2-18 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Optical parts and collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts (3D mirror distortion)	Insertion loss increase Centre-wavelength change Dynamic range of DC decrease GDR increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature Damp heat High temperature Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-19 61300-2-18 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Dynamic chromatic dispersion compensators	FBG thermal control type	Thermal control part	TEC/heater degradation	Insertion loss increase Wavelength range change Dynamic range of CD decrease	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic) Mechanical stress Excess driving	Change of temperature Damp heat High temperature Shock (storage) Vibration (storage) Maximum absolute rating test On/off driving test	61300-2-22 61300-2-19 61300-2-18 61300-2-9 61300-2-1 Understudy Understudy
		Fixing point between FBG and temperature control	Fibre bending	Insertion loss increase Wavelength range change CD changing GDR increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		FBG	Refractive index changing	CD changing	High temperature	High temperature	61300-2-18
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Dynamic gain tilt equalizers	Magnet optic type	Magnet optic part	Dislocation of magnet, Faraday rotator and birefringent crystal	Insertion loss increase Tilt change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MO type		
		Collimator	Same as VOA MEMS type	Insertion loss increase Tilt change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				
	Planar waveguide type	Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Tilt change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
			Electrode degradation	Dynamic range of tilt change	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Dynamic channel equalizers	MEMS & diffractive grating type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
		Diffractive grating, lens, prism and Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				
	Liquid crystal & diffractive grating type	LCD	Degradation of LCD	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA LCD type		
			Electrical polarization of LCD	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA LCD type		
Freezing of LCD			Uncontrollable	Same as VOA LCD type			

Midterm Report On DICONET Exploitation And Dissemination Plans Including Contribution To Standards

		Diffractive grating, lens, prism and Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as DCE MEMS type
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type		

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Wavelength blockers	MEMS & diffractive grating type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
		Diffractive grating, lens, prism and Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as DCE MEMS type		
	Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					
	Liquid crystal & diffractive grating type	LCD	Degradation of LCD	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA LCD type		

Midterm Report On DICONET Exploitation And Dissemination Plans Including Contribution To Standards

			Electrical polarization of LCD	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA LCD type
			Freezing of LCD	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA LCD type
		Diffractive grating, lens, prism and Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as DCE MEMS type
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type		

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references	
Wavelength selectable switches	MEMS & diffractive grating type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type			
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type			
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type			
		Diffractive grating, lens, prism and Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as DCE MEMS type			
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					
	Liquid crystal & diffractive grating type	LCD	Degradation of LCD	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA LCD type			
			Electrical polarization of LCD	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA LCD type			
			Freezing of LCD	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA LCD type			

Midterm Report On DICONET Exploitation And Dissemination Plans Including Contribution To Standards

		Diffraction grating, lens, prism and Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as DCE MEMS type
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type		

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Wavelength selectable switches	Planar waveguide type (thermal optic effect)	Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Crosstalk increase Return loss decrease PDL increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
			Electrode degradation	Crosstalk increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
	Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type					

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Wavelength selectable switches	Planar waveguide & MEMS type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Insertion loss increase Isolation decrease Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
			Reflectance of mirror changing	Insertion loss increase Isolation decrease Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA MEMS type		
		Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Isolation decrease Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Fixing point of MEMS, lens and mirror	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	Insertion loss increase Attenuation change Isolation decrease Return loss decrease Dynamic range of attenuation decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references	
Channel monitors (Performance monitors)	MEMS tunable filters & PD type	MEMS	Stacking the moving part	Uncontrollable	Same as VOA MEMS type			
			Distortion of hinge/mirror	Wavelength deviation Wavelength dynamic range change	Same as VOA MEMS type			
			Reflectance of mirror changing	PD current decrease (Error increase)	Same as VOA MEMS type			
		PD	PD degradation	PD dark current increase	Electrical surge High temperature Excess driving	ESD High temperature Maximum absolute rating test	? 61300-2-28 Understudy	
		Collimator	Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	PD current decrease (Error increase) Return loss decrease	Same as VOA MEMS type			
	Pigtail		Same as VOA MEMS type					
	Thermal tunable filter & PD type	Thermal tunable filter	Filter refractive index change	Wavelength deviation Wavelength dynamic range change	High temperature Excess driving	High temperature Maximum absolute rating test Driving test	61300-2-18 Understudy	
			Electrode degradation	Wavelength dynamic range change	Electrical surge High temperature Excess driving	ESD High temperature Maximum absolute rating test	Understudy 61300-2-28 Understudy	
			Transmittance of tunable filter decreasing (loss increasing)	PD current decrease (Error increase)	High temperature Excess driving	High temperature Maximum absolute rating test Driving test	61300-2-18 Understudy	
		PD	PD degradation	PD dark current increase	Same as channel monitor MEMS type			
Collimator		Dislocation of fixing points of optical parts	PD current decrease (Error increase) Return loss decrease	Same as VOA MEMS type				



		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type
--	--	---------	-----------------------

Table 2 – Failure mode and known failure mechanisms for optical units of dynamic devices (continued)

Dynamic devices		Constitution parts	Known failure mechanisms	Failure modes	Degradation acceleration factors	Relevant tests	IEC references
Channel monitors (Performance monitors)	Planar waveguide & PD array type	Waveguide	Refractive index changing	Insertion loss increase Tilt change Return loss decrease PDL increase WDL increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		Fixing point between waveguide and fibres	Dislocation by the degradation of adhesive	Insertion loss increase	Same as VOA PLC TO type		
		PD array	PD degradation	PD dark current increase (Error increase)	Electrical surge High temperature Excess driving	ESD High temperature Maximum absolute rating test	Understudy 61300-2-28 Understudy
		PD fixing point	Dislocation of fixing points of PD array	PD current decrease (Error increase)	Thermal stress High humidity (non-hermetic sealed and using adhesive) Mechanical stress	Change of temperature High temperature Damp heat Shock (storage) Vibration (storage)	61300-2-22 61300-2-18 61300-2-19 61300-2-9 61300-2-1
		Pigtail	Same as VOA MEMS type				